



Appendix E: Floodplain Risk Assessment

COUNTY: Berkeley

DATE: 07/18/2019

ROAD #: <u>I-26</u>

STREAM CROSSING: Cypress Swamp

Purpose & Need for the Project:

Widening of I-26 from Mile Marker 187 to Mile Marker 194. Bridge crossing consists of dual bridges.

I. FEMA Acknowledgement

Is this project loo	cated in a regulated	XYes	No	
Panel Number:	45015C0555D	Effective Date:	10/16/2003	(See Attached)

II. FEMA Floodmap Investigation

FEMA Flood Profile Sheet Number <u>19P</u> illustrates the existing 100 year flood:
Passes under the existing low chord elevation.
Is in contact with the existing low chord elevation.
Overtops the existing bridge finished grade elevation.

___Overtops the existing bridge finished grade elevatio

III. No Rise/CLOMR Preliminary Determination

Preliminary assessment indicates this project may be constructed to meet the "No-Rise" requirements. A detailed hydraulic analysis will be performed to verify this assessment.

Justification:

Preliminary assessmet indicates this project may require a CLOMR/LOMR. Impacts will be determined by a detailed hydraulic analysis.

Justification: Proposed replacement bridge will likely be longer, resulting in a decrease in 100-year base flood profile.

IV. Preliminary Bridge Assessment

V.

A.	Loo a.	cate Existing Plar Bridge Plans	ns ✔Yes No	File No.	8.355.2	Sheet No. <u>8</u>	(See Attached)
	b.	Road Plans	✓ Yes No	File No.	8.353	_Sheet No. <u>19</u>	(See Attached)
В.	His a.	storical Highwate USGS Gage	TData Yes ✓No	Gage No	. <u>02172076</u>	B Results:	N/A - only 2 years records available.
	b.	SCDOT/USGS I	Documente ✓ Yes No	d Highwat Results	er Elevatior : <u>32.0 (app</u>	ns rox. 30.5 NAVE	0 88 project datum)
	C.	Existing Plans	✓ Yes No	See Abov	/e		
Fie	eld F	Review					
A.	Exis Lei	sting Bridge ngth <u>: </u>	<u>)</u> ft. Width	:44	<u>4</u> ft. Ma≽	k. span Length:	<u> </u>
	Alię	gnment: 🖌 Ta	ingent	Curved			
	Bri	dge Skewed:	Yes 🗸	No Ar	ngle:		
	En	d Abutment Type	: <u>Spill-throu</u>	ugh			
	Rip	orap on End Fills:	✓Yes	No	Condition	Good	
	Su Su	perstructure Type bstructure Type:	e: <u>Concrete</u> Concrete	tee beams piles	5		
	Uti	lities Present:	Yes Describe:	✓ No			
	De	bris Accumulatio	n on Bridge	E Perce Perce	ent Blocked ent Blocked	Horizontally: Vertically:	<u> 0</u> % <u> 0</u> %
	Hyo	draulic Problems:	Yes Describe:	✓ No			

V. Field Review (cont.)

В.	Hy	draulic Features
	a.	Scour Present: Yes No Location: <u>Bridge main channel (clearwater)</u>
	b. c. d. e.	Distance from F.G. to Normal Water Elevation:14.8 ft.Distance from Low Steel to Normal Water Elev.:12.0 ft.Distance from F.G. to High Water Elevation:4.8 ft.Distance from Low Steel to High Water Elev.:2.0 ft.
	f.	Channel Banks Stable: Ves
		Describe: Swampy - no defined channel banks.
		Clearwater contraction scour present in main
		channel.
	g.	Soil Type: <u>Sandy Loam</u>
	h.	Exposed Rock: Yes Vo Location:
	i.	Give Description and Location of any structures or other property that could be damaged due to additional backwater.
		None.

- C. Existing Roadway Geometry
 - a. Can the existing roadway be closed for an On-Alignment Bridge Replacement
 ☐ Yes ✓ No
 Describe:

If "yes", does the existing vertical and horizontal curves meet the proposed design speed criteria?

If "No", will the proposed bridge be:

✓ Staged Constructed

Replaced on New Alignment

- VI. Field Review (cont.)
- A. Proposed Bridge Recommendation:

Length: <u>320</u> ft. Width: <u>44</u> ft. Elevation: <u>34.5</u> ft.

Span Arangement: <u>4 RC beam spans @ 80'</u>

Notes: Dual bridges. Recommendations apply to both eastbound and westbound bridges.







						B	M # 4
		18, m ⁻¹				12	5.41.
 	3				00 00		
STRIP				EMB B.F	06,08	2	
Matte	and the second second			3596	28,730	a mini	
BOR	110,81			STRIP WASTE			
TOTOM	110,21.			707	110,815	r †	
over	012.20	12 51	2,0:	87 6	y. 14 14		1



COUNTY: Dorchester

DATE: 07/19/2019

ROAD #: <u>I-26</u>

STREAM CROSSING: Timothy Creek

Purpose & Need for the Project:

Widening of I-26 from Mile Marker 187 to Mile Marker 194. Dual 6'x10' Culvert crossing FEMA Limited Detail Zone AE.

I. FEMA Acknowledgement

s this project located in a regulated FEMA Floodway?			Yes	X No
Panel Number:	45035C0220E	Effective Date:	07/18/2017	(See Attached)

II. FEMA Floodmap Investigation

FEMA Flood Profile Sheet Number N/A illustrates the existing 100 year flood: Passes under the existing low chord elevation.

Is in contact with the existing low chord elevation.

Overtops the existing bridge finished grade elevation.

III. No Rise/CLOMR Preliminary Determination

Preliminary assessment indicates this project may be constructed to meet the "No-Rise" requirements. A detailed hydraulic analysis will be performed to verify this assessment.

Justification:

Preliminary assessmet indicates this project may require a CLOMR/LOMR. Impacts will be determined by a detailed hydraulic analysis.

Justification: Additional culvert will be placed to reduce headwater.

IV. Preliminary Bridge Assessment

V.

Α.	Lo a.	cate Existing Plar Bridge Plans	ns ☐Yes ✔No	File No.		Sheet No	(See Attached)
	b.	Road Plans	✓ Yes No	File No.	8.350	Sheet No. 45	(See Attached)
В.	His a.	storical Highwater USGS Gage	Data Yes ✔No	Gage No		Results:	
	b.	SCDOT/USGS [Documente	d Highwat Results	er Elevatior :	IS	
	C.	Existing Plans	Yes ✓ No	See Abov	/e		
Fie	eld F	Review					
A.	Exi: Lei	sting Bridge ngth <u>: (2)6'x10' Bq</u>	<u>s</u> ft. Width	:	_ft. Max	. span Length:	ft.
	Ali	gnment: 🖌 Ta	ngent	Curved			
	Bri	dge Skewed:	Yes 🗸	No Ar	ngle: <u>90</u>		
	En	d Abutment Type	: <u>N/A</u>				
	Rip	orap on End Fills:	✓ Yes	No	Condition		
	Su Su	perstructure Type bstructure Type:	9: <u>N/A</u>				
	Uti	lities Present:	Yes Describe:	✓ No			
	De	bris Accumulatior	n on Bridge	e: Perce Perce	ent Blocked ent Blocked	Horizontally: Vertically:	<u>0</u> % <u>0</u> %
	Hyo	draulic Problems:	Yes Describe:	✓ No			

V. Field Review (cont.)

Β.	Hy	draulic Features
	a.	Scour Present. V res No Location. Outlet of box
	b.	Distance from F.G. to Normal Water Elevation: 10 ft.
	C.	Distance from Low Steel to Normal Water Elev.: <u>5</u> ft.
	d.	Distance from F.G. to High Water Elevation:ft.
	e.	Distance from Low Steel to High Water Elev.:ft.
	f.	Channel Banks Stable: Ves No
		Describe.
	g.	Soil Type: <u>Sandy Loam</u>
	h.	Exposed Rock: Yes Vo Location:
	i.	Give Description and Location of any structures or other property that could be damaged due to additional backwater.
		None

- C. Existing Roadway Geometry
 - a. Can the existing roadway be closed for an On-Alignment Bridge Replacement
 ☐ Yes ✓ No
 Describe:

If "yes", does the existing vertical and horizontal curves meet the proposed design speed criteria?

If "No", will the proposed bridge be:

✓ Staged Constructed

Replaced on New Alignment

- VI. Field Review (cont.)
- A. Proposed Bridge Recommendation:

Length: SeeBelon ft. Width: _____ft. Elevation: _____ft.

Span Arangement: _____

Notes: Retain Dual 6'x10' Box Culverts and place an additional 6'x6' culvert

BRIDGE SITE DIAGRAM: (Show North Arrow and Direction of Flow)



Performed By: <u>Lauren Warmuth</u> Title: <u>Hydraulic Engineer</u>

NOTES TO USERS

ap is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It it necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local is ources of anal loze. The community map repository should be ed for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

of or possible updated or additional flood hazard information. In more detailed information is reaso where **Base Flood Elevations** and/or **RoodWays** have been determined, uses are encouraged to the flood Profiles and Floodes (planta) of Blueter is tables contained within the Flood Insurance Bluety (FIS) report that, inserts in-cited and the set insurance and the set of the unrance rating purposes only and though to used as the set of flood elevation information. Accountry, flood evaluation of flood elevation information. Accountry, flood evaluation due at one and the blue United in computation with the FIRM. Ones of construction and/or floogian management.

Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apoly only landward North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (INAVD 88). Users of Mahold be average that costast flood evasions are as large provided in many of Sollwaiter Elevations about in the Flood Insurance Study moort junctichon. Elevations about in the Sourmay of Sollwaiter Elevations cold be used for coststicution, and/or Moogleman programs and the source for coststicution, and/or Moogleman programs by en Higher than the devadors above in the FIRM.

ries of the floodways were computed at cross sections and interpolated cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations n cross sections. The foodways sere based on hydraulic considerations and to resultenews of the National Hood Inscisnos Program. Proceedings provided in the Processing of the National Hood Inscisnos Program. Proceedings eport for this jurisdiction.

areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood structures. Refer to Section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of of Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures unsolicion.

spection used in the preparation of this map was State Plane South IFPS 3900. The horizontal datum was NAD 83, GRS1980 aphenoid. ces in datum, aphenoid, projection or State Plane zones used in function of PIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional ces in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences filed the accuracy of this FIRM.

evisions on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical of 1956. These flood elevisions must be compared to structure and devisions referenced to the source vertical datama. For inin of 1950 North American Vertical Datama of 1988, visit the National Geodetic within at <u>http://www.mst.nosa.ovg.or.contact</u> the National Geodetic at the following address:

formation Services NNGS12

n HGS12 I Geodetic Survey 3. #9202 Ist-West Highway pring, MD 20910-3282

in current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench shown on this map, please contact the information Services Branch freinhand Grouphic Sourcey et (391) 713-3848, ve meil treit weikelte et MUDER.0088.00%

ap information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by ster County, South Carolina.

p may reflect more detailed or up to date sheam channel configurations tose shown on the previous FEM. The toopstams and floodways that we sheare channel configurations are in provide toographic task. The assertimes depicted on this may prepresent the hydraulic modeling baselines has a result. The profile baselines may deviate significantly from the new As a result. The profile baselines may deviate significantly from the new phannel phannel supervised and the significant of the too deviate.

ate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available me of publication. Because changes due to annexestence or unremeasioners we occurred after this may was published. may users should contact late community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

refer to the separately printed Maplindex for an overview map of the showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; sting of Communities lable contraining Mational Rodo Insurance Program ir each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each mity is located.

the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) at 1-877-338-2827 for for on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products used previously associated setting that Change, a Fixed insurance Study under department of this map. The FMIX may also be reached by -800-368-820 and their weaked at <u>Hiss New Previouslems approved</u>

have questions about this map or questions concerning the National surance Program in general, please call 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2527) he FEMA website at <u>http://www.fema.gov/hational.fcod-insurance-program</u>



Igital Flood Insurance Rate Map. (FIRM) was produced through a unique state aptrentific between the State of South Cardina and the Flooder to Management. The State of South Cardina has approximately and the State of South Cardina has associated with flooding. This a demonstrated by the State's commitment foodplain areas fait hocal level. As part of the stirt, the State of Cardina has joined in a Cooperating Technical State agreement with to produce and matter thes.

80" 18' 45"

MERCEDES LN

Timothy Crock

2215000 FT ZONE AE

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS (SFHAs) SUBJECT INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD 80" 15' 00" 5000mp San Statime 167¹⁰⁰ E 801 18 451 "65""E JOINS FANEL 0210 33" 11" 16" 33' 11' 15" 5 ZONE A ZONE AE "72""N + +ZONE AD ZONEAE ZONE AR 500 Dorchester County ZONE AN Unincorporated Areas ZONEV 450068 ZONE VE 100000 ET From Hole Steam - Cert + +1071000 N-++ -ZONE X COUNTY BERKELEY Ca ZONE AE *70"N + +++486000 ET "so""N ++480000 ET *65 N-+ + + ++REDGEVILLE RD + +*67⁰⁰⁰) ++DE1656 DE165 475000 FT Eng) **Dorchester County** ZONE AE Unincorporated Areas ONE AE 450068 ee, DE1659 BUTLE 246 Four Hole So tery 2-7 METTE UN 33" 07" 50" 33" 07" 30"

JOINS PANEL 0310

2220000 FT

2225000 FT

6

Federal Emergency Management

80" 15.00"

450350

JULY 1

EFFECTIVE

LEGEND

